## Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report
### Community Confinement Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interim</th>
<th>☐</th>
<th>Final</th>
<th>☒</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Date of Interim Audit Report:
- March 29, 2020
- ☐ N/A

**If no Interim Audit Report, select N/A**

#### Date of Final Audit Report:
- June 29, 2021

### Auditor Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Kayleen Murray</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kmurray.prea@yahoo.com">kmurray.prea@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Company Name:
Click or tap here to enter text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>P.O. Box 2400</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City, State, Zip</td>
<td>Wintersville, Ohio 43953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telephone</th>
<th>740-317-6630</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Facility Visit</td>
<td>3-22-21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Agency Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Agency</th>
<th>Talbert House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable):
Click or tap here to enter text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Address</th>
<th>2600 Victory Parkway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City, State, Zip</td>
<td>Cincinnati, Ohio 45206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>Click or tap here to enter text.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City, State, Zip</td>
<td>Click or tap here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Agency Is</th>
<th>☐ Military</th>
<th>☐ Private for Profit</th>
<th>☒ Private not for Profit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Municipal</td>
<td>☐ County</td>
<td>☐ State</td>
<td>☐ Federal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Agency Website with PREA Information:
- talberthouse.org

### Agency Chief Executive Officer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Neil Tilow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:neil.tilow@talberthouse.org">neil.tilow@talberthouse.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>513-751-7747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Cathy Jo Vanderpool/Maura Lang</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cjvanderpool@talberthouse.org">cjvanderpool@talberthouse.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>513-751-7747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PREA Coordinator Reports to:
- Todd Teisman

#### Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator:
- 7
## Facility Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Facility:</th>
<th>Alcohol and Drug Addiction Partnership Treatment/Burnet Intensive Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Address:</td>
<td>3009 Burnet Ave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State, Zip:</td>
<td>Cincinnati, Ohio 45219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mailing Address (if different from above):
Click or tap here to enter text.

| City, State, Zip: | Click or tap here to enter text. |

The Facility Is:
- [☐] Military
- [☐] Private for Profit
- [☒] Private not for Profit
- [☐] Municipal
- [☐] County
- [☐] State
- [☐] Federal

Facility Website with PREA Information: talberthouse.org

Has the facility been accredited within the past 3 years?  
[☒] Yes  [☐] No

If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):
- [☑] ACA
- [☐] NCCHC
- [☐] CALEA
- [☐] Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
- [☐] N/A

If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe:
Click or tap here to enter text.

## Facility Director

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Angela Satterfield/Jeremy Ratliff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:angela.satterfield@talberthouse.org">angela.satterfield@talberthouse.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>513-872-8870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Facility PREA Compliance Manager

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Angela Satterfield/Jeremy Ratliff</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jeremy.ratliff@talberthouse.org">Jeremy.ratliff@talberthouse.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>513-557-2500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Facility Health Service Administrator  
[☒] N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>Click or tap here to enter text.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td>Click or tap here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td>Click or tap here to enter text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Facility Characteristics

| Designated Facility Capacity: | 117 |
| Current Population of Facility: | 66 |
| Average daily population for the past 12 months: | 50 |
| Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months? | ☒ No |
| Which population(s) does the facility hold? | ☒ Both Females and Males |
| Age range of population: | 18 and up |
| Average length of stay or time under supervision | 90 -180 days |
| Facility security levels/resident custody levels | minimum |
| Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months | 183 |
| Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more: | 168 |
| Number of residents admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more: | 168 |
| Does the audited facility hold residents for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)? | ☒ Yes |
| Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds residents: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold residents for any other agency or agencies): | ☒ Federal Bureau of Prisons |
| | ☐ U.S. Marshals Service |
| | ☐ U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement |
| | ☐ Bureau of Indian Affairs |
| | ☐ U.S. Military branch |
| | ☒ State or Territorial correctional agency |
| | ☒ County correctional or detention agency |
| | ☐ Judicial district correctional or detention facility |
| | ☐ City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail) |
| | ☐ Private corrections or detention provider |
| | ☐ Other - please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text. |
| | ☐ N/A |
| Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with residents: | 46 |
| Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with residents: | 13 |
| Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with residents: | 1 |
| Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 1 |
| Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility: | 0 |
### Physical Plant

**Number of buildings:**

Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether residents are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house residents, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.

| Number of buildings | 1 |

**Number of resident housing units:**

Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a “housing unit” defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house residents of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.

| Number of resident housing units | 3 |

**Number of single resident cells, rooms, or other enclosures:**

| Number of single resident cells, rooms, or other enclosures | 2 |

**Number of multiple occupancy cells, rooms, or other enclosures:**

| Number of multiple occupancy cells, rooms, or other enclosures | 13 |

**Number of open bay/dorm housing units:**

| Number of open bay/dorm housing units | 7 |

**Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?**

| Yes | No |

**Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?**

| Yes | No |

### Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams

**Are medical services provided on-site?**

| Yes | No |

**Are mental health services provided on-site?**

| Yes | No |
Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provided? Select all that apply.

- ☐ On-site
- ☐ Local hospital/clinic
- ☑ Rape Crisis Center
- ☐ Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)

### Investigations

#### Criminal Investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment:</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply. | ☐ Facility investigators
- ☑ An external investigative entity |
| Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations) | ☑ Local police department
- ☑ Local sheriff’s department
- ☑ State police
- ☐ A U.S. Department of Justice component
- ☐ Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
- ☐ N/A |

#### Administrative Investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply | ☑ Facility investigators
- ☑ Agency investigators
- ☑ An external investigative entity |
| Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations) | ☐ Local police department
- ☐ Local sheriff’s department
- ☐ State police
- ☐ A U.S. Department of Justice component
- ☐ Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text.)
- ☑ N/A |
Audit Findings

Audit Narrative (including Audit Methodology)

The auditor’s description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed, discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor’s process for the site review.

The PREA onsite visit for Alcohol and Drug Addiction Partnership Treatment and Burnett Intensive Services (ADAPT/BIS) Halfway House was conducted in conjunction with other Talbert House facilities during the week of March 22 - 26, 2021. The facility is a part of the Talbert House operated community confinement facilities. The auditor conducted interviews and reviews of policies, procedures, and documentation that pertained to the facility specifically and the agency as a whole. The goal of the audit is to ensure operational compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) standards for community Confinement.

The PREA Coordinator and facility forwarded the auditor the pre-audit questionnaire and other documentation to show compliance with the standards. The information was received by the auditor six weeks prior to the onsite visit.

The auditor requested each facility provide photographic evidence that audit notices were posted in conspicuous areas throughout the facility. The notices showed the dates of the onsite visit; the name, address, and email address of the auditor; and the ability to have confidential correspondence with the auditor. The auditor did not have any staff or resident send confidential correspondence prior to the onsite visit. During the onsite visit, no resident or staff member made a request to speak to the auditor.

The auditor was able to review resident files, employee files, staff and resident training records, risk screening, agency website, acknowledgments, resident handbook, employee handbook, policy and procedures, camera views, posters, brochures, coordinated response plan, staffing plan, annual report, investigations, and facility tour.

The tour of the facility included all interior and perimeter areas. The auditor was able to observe the housing units, dorms, bathrooms, group rooms, dining room, intake area, staff offices, storage closets, gym, and administration area. The auditor was able to have informal interaction with both staff and residents during the walk through and not how staff interacted with residents. The auditor was provided a private office to conduct formal interviews of residents and staff.

The auditor interviewed sixteen (16) residents based on the population of sixty-six (66) residents during the onsite visit. The residents were selected based on the requirements of the PREA Resource Center’s Auditor Handbook Guidelines. The residents were selected
based on demographic information, housing unit, targeted interview status, risk assessment screening, intake dates, and ORAS risk level. The auditor conducted the following interviews:

- Random = 16
- Targeted = 5

The facility did not have a resident that fit into any of the identified categories. The facility is operating at 40% capacity and continues to maintain COVID-19 protocols.

The auditor conducted the interviews in accordance with the PREA Compliance Audit Instrument Guide and the Auditor Handbook Guide for Effective Strategies for Interviewing Staff and Residents. The auditor explained the interview process to each resident and that they were under no obligation to answer questions. The auditor asked questions concerning the resident’s experience with PREA education, allegation reporting requirements, retaliation, staff communication, grievance reporting, knock and announcements, searches (pat, enhanced pat, strip, body cavity, and cross-gender), housing unit concerns, limits to confidentiality, outside supportive services, disciplinary sanctions, and other PREA related concerns.

The facility has a total of Forty-six (46) full and part time staff members including the Associate Director for both ADAPT and BIS programs. The auditor was able to talk with agency and facility leadership, along with other specialized and random staff members. Employee interviews include:

- Director of Programs
- PREA Coordinator
- PREA Compliance Manager
- Administrative Investigators
- Quality & Compliance Manager
- Clinical Practice Director
- Associate Director
- Risk Screener
- Retaliation Monitor
- SART members
- HR staff
- First responders (security and non-security)
- PREA resident educator

The auditor also interviewed random staff members from both programming and security. Security staff from all shifts were interviewed. Several staff members were responsible for more than one specialized area. The auditor was unable to interview the minimum of twelve random staff member due to the limited number of staff employed at this facility.

All staff interviews, random and specialized, were conducted using the PREA Compliance Audit Instrument Interview Guide and the PREA Auditor Handbook’s Effective Strategies for
Interviewing Staff and Resident Guide. The auditor was able to question staff on the agency’s zero tolerance policies, trainings, reporting protocols, first responder duties, coordinated response plan, grievance procedures, investigation protocols, confidentiality, retaliation monitoring, risk screening, protection from abuse, LGBTI policies and procedures, data collection, annual reports, staffing plans, electronic surveillance, reporting to other confinement facilities, disciplinary procedures, knock and announcements, cross-gender supervision polices, and transgender/intersex accommodations.

The auditor reached out via email to the rape crisis agency listed on the facility’s MOU and coordinated staffing plan and the local hospital that performs SANE services. The community partners were able to confirm the services they would provide to residents free of charge.

On the final day of the onsite visit, the auditor was able to discuss with agency and facility leadership some preliminary audit findings.

Facility Characteristics

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the inmate, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

ADAPT/BIS is a halfway house located in Cincinnati, Ohio that serves adult male and female felony offenders. The programs are located within a multi-use building on the second and third floors. There is security personnel located at the front desk and a phone is provided so that
residents and visitors can contact staff to escort them to the program. In order to access ADAPT or BIS, one must have a key to the elevator and a key to each program floor. Once on the floor, another key is needed to access the unit. Once on the unit, residents are escorted to the control office where they are subject to a pat search.

The facility is equipped with 53 surveillance cameras which can record and play back anywhere between 14-30 days. The cameras are placed strategically throughout the interior and exterior of the building. There are also multiple security mirrors to enhance security in vulnerable areas. The facility has identified areas of the building that can be considered vulnerabilities and have developed a plan for monitoring these areas until electronic monitoring can be added. Camera can be found in all hallways, dayrooms, laundry rooms, dining hall, exercise room, and dorms. All exterior entrance points and the recreation area are also monitored by cameras.

ADAPT has a male and female housing unit on the third floor while BIS has a single male housing unit on the second floor. The housing unit floor plans are mirror images. Entering into the housing unit, there is a RA security office that is staffed at all times. This office is located off the dayroom. The unit is square shaped with offices, dorms, group rooms, library, lounge/exercise room, laundry room, bathrooms, and rewards room are along the perimeter of the wing. On the ADAPT units, dorm rooms doors are kept open during programming hours. While on the BIS unit, dorm room doors are kept locked during programming hours. Dorm rooms have 8-10 beds and are arranged to provide clear line of site views. All of the dorm rooms on all three housing units have a camera. Residents are required to change inside the bathroom and be properly dressed in common areas.

The residents in the three housing units have minimal interactions with residents from the other units. The residents share a court yard, dining area, and kitchen but do not use these areas at the same time. When residents are moving off the unit, they are required to be quiet during travel time. The facility has kitchen staff to operate the kitchen; however, occasionally BIS residents will help serve under staff supervision. Residents have scheduled supervised time on the outdoor patio area.

The facility allows for residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and dress in areas not viewable to staff. The facility has three distinct housing units, one male ADAPT unity, one female ADAPT unit and one male BIS unit. The residents within each housing unit share the bathroom. The floor plan for each housing unit is identical. Each unit has two bathrooms plus a single use shower room. The bathroom contains two toilet stalls with doors and a shower area with a multiuse shower and changing area. There is a shower curtain that covers the shower area and the entrance to the bathroom has a solid door. The second bathroom in each of the housing units contains a single use shower and changing area that is covered by a shower curtain. There are two toilet stalls with door. The entrance to the bathroom is covered with a solid door.

Residents that have been given a classification of vulnerable would be housed in one of the dorm rooms that offers the most visibility, security, and on camera. The facility has a locked shower room on each of the housing units in order to provide transgender/intersex residents an opportunity to shower privately.
The facility requires Resident Advisors to conduct head counts during the day and circulation rounds every 30 minutes, as well as security and perimeter checks throughout the facility. Resident Advisors (RA) are required to conduct more frequent checks in areas that are considered blind spot areas.

Throughout the facility there are several PREA posters in English and Spanish that provides information on reporting options, emotional supportive services, and resident rights.

ADAPT/BIS is a halfway house located in Cincinnati, Ohio that serves adult male and female felony offenders. The facility is located in a multiuse building and has three distinct housing units (one male ADAPT unity, one female ADAPT unit, and one male BIS unit) that can house a total of 113 residents (the census during the audit was 91). The third floor houses the male and female ADAPT units. The second floor houses the male BIS unit. The three units’ floor plans are the same. To access the facility, one must enter the lobby area where building security mans the front desk. A phone is provided so visitors and residents can request a staff member come escort them to one of the housing units. In order to access one of the housing units, one must have a key to the floor or elevator. Another key is needed to access the unit, and once on the unit, residents are subject to a pat search that is on camera.

The facility is equipped with 53 surveillance cameras (27 ADAPT/26 BIS) which can record and play back 14-30 days. The cameras are placed strategically throughout the interior and exterior of the building. There are also multiple security cameras in the building that are operated/viewable by building security. The facility has identified areas that can be considered vulnerabilities and have developed a plan for monitoring these areas until electronic monitoring can be added. Cameras can be found in the hallways, dayrooms, laundry rooms (ADAPT), dining hall, exercise room, and dorms. All exterior entrance points and the rec yard are also monitored by cameras. The Activity and Security Monitors (ASM) are required to conduct a circulation round every thirty minutes and a head count three times a day. Residents are required to report to their rooms for head count.

Entering into the housing unit, there is a RA security office that is staffed at all times. This office is located off the dayroom. The unit is square shaped with offices, dorms, group rooms, library, lounge/exercise room, laundry room, bathrooms, and rewards room are along the perimeter of the wing. On the ADAPT units, dorm rooms doors are kept open during programming hours. While on the BIS unit, dorm room doors are kept locked during programming hours. Dorm rooms have 8-10 beds and are arranged to provide clear line of site views. All of the dorm rooms on all three housing units have a camera. Residents are required to change inside the bathroom and be properly dressed in common areas.

The residents in the three housing units have minimal interactions with residents from the other units. The residents share a court yard, dining area, and kitchen but do not use these areas at the same time. When residents are moving off the unit, they are required to be quiet during travel time. The facility has kitchen staff to operate the kitchen; however, occasionally BIS residents will help serve under staff supervision. Residents have scheduled supervised time on the outdoor patio area.
Residents that have been given a classification of vulnerable would be housed in one of the dorm rooms that offers the most visibility, security, and on camera. The facility has a locked shower room on each of the housing units in order to provide transgender/intersex residents an opportunity to shower privately.

As part of the Talbert House residential corrections program, the facility focuses on successful transition from correctional supervision to community. The facility offers several programs designed to successfully reintegrate offenders back into the community. Reentry services include addiction treatment, medication-assisted treatment, trauma, life skills, vocational services, and criminal thinking. The organization has a culture of innovation that thrives on the creation of services that meet residents’ needs. Treatment services are researched based, best practices. The staff provide a secure, supervised, and structured living environment that empower residents to change.

**Summary of Audit Findings**

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

**Auditor Note:** No standard should be found to be “Not Applicable” or “NA”. A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

**Standards Exceeded**

Number of Standards Exceeded: 0
List of Standards Exceeded:

**Standards Met**

Number of Standards Met: 41

**Standards Not Met**

Number of Standards Not Met: 0
List of Standards Not Met:
PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.211 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the written policy outline the agency’s approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.211 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

ADAPT/BIS adhere to Talbert House policy 12.04.01 that states the facility must provide a safe, human, and appropriately secure environment, free from the treat of sexual misconduct for all residents by maintaining a program of prevention, detection, response, investigation, and tracking. The facility will maintain zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
All allegations of sexual misconduct and/or sexual harassment will be administratively and/or criminally investigated.

The agency’s Clinical Practice Director serves as the agency wide PREA Coordinator. The auditor interviewed the PREA Coordinator who reports that she has sufficient time and authority to ensure each of the facilities under the Talbert House umbrella are complying with the PREA standards. She states her duties include working with each facility PREA Compliance Manager to ensure staff and residents receive the appropriate training, point of contact for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, monitoring risk screening procedures, developing safety plans for high risk residents, collecting data for reporting PREA outcome measures, and insuring all allegations receive an administrative and/or criminal investigation.

The Associate Director has been identified as the facility’s PREA Compliance Manger. The compliance manager is responsible for ensuring the facility is complying with all agency policies, procedures, and protocols.

The auditor interviewed the Associate Director for ADAPT during the onsite visit. She reports that the PREA Coordinator supports her in all areas of developing and implementing policies, procedures, and protocols that ensures the facility is in compliance with the PREA standards. The Associate Director of BIS reports to the auditor that her responsibilities include ensuring that the facility has an adequate staffing plan, staff and residents have appropriate training and education, and all allegations, regardless of how reported, are investigated.

The agency has recently hired a Co-PREA Coordinator. The auditor was able to meet the her during the onsite visit. The staff member is currently learning the standards and agency policies and procedures that pertain to the PREA standards. The auditor was able to review the auditing process, purpose, and expectations. The Co-Coordinator states that her responsibilities will include assisting the facility’s maintain compliance.

The agency wide PREA Coordinator and facility PREA Compliance Managers all report having sufficient time and authority to ensure compliance.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Agency table of organization
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
Interview with Co-PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.212: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.212 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity’s obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.212 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.212 (c)

- If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the agency is a private not for profit agency and does not contract with other facilities to house offenders on behalf of Talbert House.
Standard 115.213: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.213 (a)

- Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.213 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.213 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility’s deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse. The plan is reviewed at least annually and updated as necessary. The plan will take into consideration:

- The physical layout of each facility, including consideration if the facility should plan any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities
- The composition of the resident population
- The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse
- Any other relevant factors

The facility provided the auditor with a copy of the most recent staffing plan. The staffing plan includes:

**Layout of the facility**
- Three level multi-use building
- Shared use of kitchen and patio area
- 2 male housing units/1 female unit
- 54 surveillance cameras (28 ADAPT/26 BIS)

**Composition of offenders**
- Serves adult male and female felony offenders
- BIS residents are treatment transfer offenders
- Has housed transgender residents

**Incidents of sexual abuse**
- Staff-to-resident sexual harassment- 1 substantiated
- Staff-to-resident sexual harassment- 1 unsubstantiated
- Resident-to-resident sexual harassment- 1 substantiated
- Staff-to-resident sexual harassment- 1 unfounded
- Staff-to-resident sexual abuse- 1 substantiated

**Deviations from staffing plan**
- No deviations from staffing plan
- Tries to use the same gender Resident Advisor staff
• Would use mandatory overtime or staff from other Talbert House facilities

The facility reviews the staffing plan during the annual budget review. The review assesses:

• Prevailing staffing patterns
  1. Resident Advisors: 2 RA 1st shift, 3 RA 2nd shift, 2 RA 3rd shift in each housing unit
  2. 46 staff members

• Deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies
  1. 54 cameras (1 added since last audit)

• Resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels
  1. Based on annual budget
  2. Maximum number of residents
  3. Security equipment available

The review is conducted by the Director, Associate Director, and the Operations Supervisor. The Director will make budget request on behalf of the facility if additional staff or electronic monitoring is needed.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Staffing plan
Camera views
Tour of facility
Interview with Director
Interview with Associate Director
Interview with Operations Supervisor

Standard 115.215: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.215 (a)

• Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?
  ☒ Yes □ No

115.215 (b)

• Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.)
  ☒ Yes □ No □ NA
Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents’ access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.215 (c)

Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.215 (d)

Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the facility have procedures that enables residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (e)

Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident’s genital status? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If a resident’s genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.215 (f)

Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐  **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.02 prohibits the facility from conducting cross-gender strip searches and prohibits all body cavity searches. All searches must be conducted by staff of the same gender as the resident. The policy requires all Resident Advisors to be properly trained on pat, enhanced pat, and strip searches and transgender and intersex searches. The policy does not allow for the searching of transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining genitalia.

Pat search- A pat down of the resident’s clothes while the resident is still clothed. The staff member will run hands along the outer garments.

Enhanced pat search- Resident removing all clothing except underwear. The staff member will do a visual body search and search of clothing.

Strip search- Inspection of genitalia, buttocks, breast of a person that is preceded by the removal or rearrangement of some or all of the person’s clothing that directly covers these areas.

Training is conducted at New Employee Orientation by a qualified staff member. The trainer will ensure that all Resident Advisor’s are able to conduct all searches in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. The trainer will inform facility management that the staff member is qualified to conduct proper searches, including searches on transgender/intersex residents.

The policy ensures that residents are allowed appropriate levels of privacy while showering, changing, clothes, or performing bodily functions. Residents are able to conduct those activities without the staff of the opposite gender viewing their buttocks or genitalia. Staff of the opposite gender are required to announce their presence when entering areas where residents are likely to be showering, changing clothes, or performing bodily functions.
The Operations Supervisor reports that the facility will place the same gender RA’s in each housing unit. Should there be a need for additional coverage, the facility tries to ensure that the same gender staff be called in. Should this not be possible, especially on a female housing unit, the opposite gender staff be posted in central control.

All housing units have cameras in the dorm areas. The facility has a dressing policy which requires all residents to be properly dressed in all common areas of the facility. Residents are informed that they must change clothing in the bathroom.

The facility allows for residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and dress in areas not viewable to staff. The facility has three distinct housing units, one male ADAPT unit, one female ADAPT unit and one male BIS unit. The residents within each housing unit share the bathroom. The floor plan for each housing unit is identical. Each unit has two bathrooms plus a single use shower room. The bathroom contains two toilet stalls with doors and a shower area with a multiuse shower and changing area. There is a shower curtain that covers the shower area and the entrance to the bathroom has a solid door. The second bathroom in each of the housing units contains a single use shower and changing area that is covered by a shower curtain. There are two toilet stalls with door. The entrance to the bathroom is covered with a solid door. The shower room on each floor has a tub/shower combo and must be opened by staff. This bathroom is available for transgender/intersex residents to shower privately. All staff knock and announce their presence before entering the bathroom. The dorm room doors are required to be open during programming hours.

The auditor interviewed sixteen residents during the onsite visit. The auditor inquired about searches (pat, enhanced, and cross-gender), cross-gender announcements, and bathroom privacy. The female residents report that the facility does not have any male Resident Advisor staff (except for “Mr. Derrick”, who is the Operations Supervisor) and that they have never been searched by a male staff member. The residents report that only female staff members enter the bathroom and if the bathroom is in need of repair, the residents state the bathroom is closed while the area is being repaired. The residents state that they are required to change clothes in the bathroom. When asked, the residents report that they are told at intake of the facility’s dress policy. The residents report that the bathroom offers enough privacy and that they have no issues with staff professionalism concerning searches. The male residents report similar experiences. They state that all searches are professional, at no time have they been searched by a female staff member, and that all females announce themselves before entering dorm rooms or the bathroom.

Resident Advisors were interviewed during the onsite visit. All interviewed stated that they receive annual training on how to conduct proper pat, enhanced, and strip searches. The Operations Supervisor reports that should she have to find staffing to assist in the facility, he will assign that staff member to the main post where he will not conduct searches or rounds in the female housing unit. The staff states that while they have had experience with housing a transgender resident, and they have been trained on how to conduct such searches. The staff report that they are not allowed to conduct body cavity searches. The auditor was able to view several pat searches conducted during the onsite visit. The searches were conducted according to agency policy.
The auditor interviewed the Operations Supervisor who is one of the male staff assigned to ADAPT which has a female unit. He states that he ensures all staff are properly trained before conducting pat searches and had a retraining when the facility housed a transgender resident. He is required to perform quality assurance checks on searches. Staff receive training annually and must demonstrate proficiency to the trainer.

The auditor discussed with the Associate Director the plans for offering transgender/intersex residents a private opportunity to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing. The Associate Director reports that the facility has recently housed a transgender resident. He states that the resident was provided a private room as well as access to a private shower/tub room. He states that facility administration as well as agency leadership will address any concerns the resident may have about showering, changing, or their general safety.

The auditor reviewed 15 staff files and was able to verify staff training through training sign-in sheets.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Facility tour
Training curriculum
Training sign-in sheets
Search procedures
Interviews with residents
Interview with staff
Interview with Associate Director
Interview with Director
Interview with Operations Supervisor

---

**Standard 115.216: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

**115.216 (a)**

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect,
and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Are blind or have low vision? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.216 (b)**

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.216 (c)

Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident’s safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident’s allegations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy 12.04.01 states that the agency will use professional interpreters from the community to assist with communicating to residents with special needs. Residents will not be use as interpreters, readers, or assistants except in rate circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise safety and/or the first responder duties or investigation process. The resources include:

- Interpreters Ohio Relay 1-800-750-0750
- Vocalink 1-937-223-1415
- Hearing, Speech, and Deaf Center of Greater Cincinnati 513-221-0527
- Affordable Language Services 513-745-0888
- Cycom 1-844-203-2025

The PREA Coordinator states that should any resident at any of the Talbert House facilities need translation or interpreter services, they will select that appropriate community partner based upon the need of the resident. She states that the agency has translated a number of documents that are regularly provided to residents in Spanish and Nepali (the first and second most language spoken by limited English proficient residents). The Coordinator also states
that the agency will assist resident who need auxiliary items (glasses/hearing aids) in order to allow them to fully participate in the program.

The auditor spoke to the staff responsible for providing resident PREA education. The staff states that she reads the information to the residents, provides simple examples of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, ensures residents know all reporting options, and answers any questions. She states that she will privately work with residents if necessary.

The Clinical Supervisor reports the auditor that during intake the facility will assess the resident’s ability to speak, read, and understand English; to read and understand the handbook; and if auxiliary items are to assist the resident. Should a resident need some type of assistance in order to benefit from all aspects of the facility’s policies to prevent, detect, report, and respond to incident to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, the facility will provide that assistance at no charge to the resident. She reports that depending upon the assistance needed, she will work one-on-one with residents who need extra assistance. Should the resident need assistance that is not readily available at the facility or from staff, the Associate Director will contact community resources to assist the resident.

The Associate Director reports that the facility has not needed the services of community resources to assist residents in being able to benefit from the facility’s efforts to prevent, detect, respond, and report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. She states that she would receive information prior to intake of services or auxiliary aids needed for residents and would provide those services free of charge.

It was reported to the auditor that at the time of the audit, the facility did not have any resident that was identified as needing any additional assistance or auxiliary aid in order to benefit from the facility’s efforts to detect, protect, respond, and report incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Community resources
Interviews with targeted residents
Interview with orientation instructor
Interview with Clinical Supervisor
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.217 (a)
• Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (b)

• Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (c)

• Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal State, and local law: Make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (d)
Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (e)

Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (f)

Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (g)

Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.217 (h)

Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s
conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 prohibits the agency from hiring anyone, or enlisting the services of any contractor, to a position of direct contact with residents who has:

- Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution
- Has been convicted for engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied treats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse
- Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the previously described activities

The agency requires all applicants to disclose any allegation of sexual misconduct in the community and while working in an institution. Applicant will document this on the application and during the interview process. The application informs applicants that material omissions with regard to sexual misconduct, or materially false information, are ground for termination. Should an applicant be chosen for employment, the new staff member is informed of their continued responsibility to disclose such information.

To ensure the agency does not hire a prohibited applicant, the Human Resource Department is required to complete background checks, institutional employer reference checks, and ensure the applicant is not listed on the Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities Abuse Registry or the Ohio Nurse Aid Registry. Employees who have contact with offenders are required to have an initial background check and another check every five years thereafter. The staff of the HR department will collect the background checks and compare any offense with the Disqualifying Offense Affidavit.

The auditor reviewed fifteen employee files. The auditor was able to review and confirm that staff received an initial background check and a five year recheck. The agency obtains checks from the Ohio Bureau of Criminal Investigations, Butler County Sheriff’s Office, and Federal Bureau of Investigations. The files reviewed contained the initial background check and if needed, the five-year recheck. A check of employee annual performance evaluation, shows documentation of the employees continued affirmation of no incidents of sexual misconduct in the community or the facility.

The agency conducts background checks every five years regardless of when the staff started employment. This will guarantee that all staff receive the required check.

When conducting reviews of employees who have previously worked in institutional settings, it was noted by the auditor that the facility has maintained the corrective action plan developed from a facility audit in 2020. The agency was able to show documentation of conducting reference checks on employees who have previously worked in institutional settings to
determine if they have ever had a substantiated allegation of sexual abuse or resigned in the middle of an investigation into sexual abuse.

When discussing the promotion process, the Director reports that employees will receive notification through the agency’s intranet of all available open positions. Employees must complete an application or submit a letter of interest. The HR department will review all internal applicants to be sure they meet minimum qualifications before conducting an interview. A review of the minimum qualifications includes a check of the employee’s performance reviews and disciplinary records. Disciplinary action is considered active for six months and staff cannot be promoted during that time.

The auditor checked for promoted employees during the file review and verified that any employee promoted did not have disciplinary action that would have prevented the promotion. No employee file reviewed had any disciplinary action that would prohibit them from working with residents.

Contractors and volunteers are subject to the same background checks and vetting process as employees.

The HR department will honor all request for employment verification for previous employees unless prohibited. The information provided would include information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment if requested from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work.

The auditor reviewed 15 random employee files. The review included on boarding documentation, employment application, reference checks/verification, interview forms, disciplinary records, training records, background checks, employee handbook, code of conduct/ethics acknowledgement, and promotions.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee files
Employee background checks
Reference checks
Disciplinary actions
Interview with Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Quality and Compliance Manager

Standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.218 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency’s ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

115.218 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency’s ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The Director reports that the facility has not acquired a new facility or had any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities. She reports that the agency has no plans to substantially change this facility.

The facility has added a new camera and has updated the camera system which has allowed the facility to increase the video retention period.

The facility will assess the need to installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology during the annual staffing plan review.

The Director will address any facility’s request for a budget increase in order to augment the facility’s electronic monitoring system.
### RESPONSIVE PLANNING

**Standard 115.221: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.221 (a)**

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

**115.221 (b)**

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

**115.221 (c)**

- Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No

- If SAFE(s) or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?
  - ☒ Yes  ☐ No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.221 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.221 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.221 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

### 115.221 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

### 115.221 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 requires the agency to ensure investigations are conducted by properly trained investigators and report all allegations of sexual abuse to the appropriate law enforcement agency(ies) for investigation.

The agency has a signed and dated MOU with the Cincinnati Police Department to investigate all criminal allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as the facility by using a uniform evidence protocol adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice’s Office on Violence Against Women Publication, “A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examination, Adults/Adolescents,” or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011.

The facility has not had an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that met the standard of referring the allegation to the local legal authority.

Residents of all Talbert House facilities that are in need of a medical forensic exam will be transported to the University of Cincinnati Medical Center. The hospital has nurses that are specially trained to provide around the clock, first-response care to sexual assault survivors. The nurses are trained to:

- Address psychological and physical trauma
- Conduct safe and comprehensive physical examinations (including the use of colposcope and digital photography)
- Provide dignity and compassionate support
- Work with residents who have disabilities or are deaf

The hospital has partnered with Women Helping Women to provide rape crisis advocates to victims of sexual assaults.
The facility has an MOU with Women Helping Women to provide advocate services to any resident victim of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The MOU outlines the agency agrees to provide to all Talbert House facilities in the Cincinnati area residents. The services include:

- Hospital support
- One-on-one crisis intervention sessions
- Long-term counseling
- Legal accompaniment
- Support groups
- Support services for residents who identify as LGBTQ

The auditor communicated via email with the director from Women Helping Women. The advocate confirmed the scope of services the agency would provide and that the services are free of charge.

The facility has a trained emotional supportive staff member. The PREA Coordinator reports that the agency tries to always provide an advocate from a rape crisis agency to any resident victim.

The facility has provided the auditor with documentation of staff administrative investigator training and emotional support training.

Review:
Policy and procedure
MOU with Cincinnati Police Department
University of Cincinnati Medical Center SANE program
MOU with Women Helping Women
Email with Women Helping Women Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Training certificates

**Standard 115.222: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.222 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.222 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency document all such referrals? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.222 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.222 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.222 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has a policy (12.04.01) that requires administrative and/or criminal investigations are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policy states that investigations are conducted by a properly trained individual or by the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations.
The agency posts the investigatory policy on its website, https://www.talberthouse.org/media/resources/PREA%20-%20FAQ_2015.pdf. The website states that all investigations will receive an administrative investigation and allegations local law enforcement will conduct independent criminal investigations, and be responsible for referral for prosecution.

The facility has had the allegations in the past twelve months:

Investigation #1: A resident made a verbal report to staff that a staff member is making unwanted and inappropriate conversations about her sexuality/sex life. An administrative investigator interviewed the victim and alleged abuser, or reviewed video surveillance. The investigation did not uncover any corroborating evidence. The allegation was determined to be unsubstantiated. Emotional support services/mental health services were offered to the victim; however, she declined all services.

Investigation #2: A resident made a verbal report that a staff member made an inappropriate sexual comment to a resident while that resident was in the shower. The staff member was placed on unpaid leave during the investigation. The staff member admitted to making the comment and was terminated. The allegation was not referred due to no criminal behavior.

Investigation #3: A resident made a written complaint that another resident was being verbally harassing because of the resident’s gender identity. There was an administrative investigation that determined the allegation to be substantiated. There was a staff witness to the harassment. The abuser’s parole arrested the resident and required him to spend two nights in jail as a sanction for the harassment.

Investigation #4: The facility received a notice that an anonymous call came into the third-party hotline number alleging staff-to-resident sexual harassment. The administrative investigator interviewed multiple staff and residents and determined that the caller is a resident that reported in an act of retaliation against a staff member for recently sanctioning the resident. There were multiple witness statements confirming the false report. The allegation was determined to be unfounded.

Investigation #5: The facility received a third-party verbal report to staff that a staff member was having an inappropriate relationship with a resident. The staff member was placed on administrative leave during the investigation. While the resident in question was no longer at the facility, the investigator conducted several interviews where there were rumors alluding to the relationship but no evidence. The allegation was determined to be unsubstantiated. The accused staff member requested to be transferred to another facility after the investigation. The staff member was transferred to another division of the agency rather than to another facility. During the audit more evidence was discovered and the new evidence made clear that the staff member and the former resident were in a relationship. The conclusion is that the staff member began this relationship while she was working in the facility where the resident was being housed. The allegation was reopened and the determination was changed to substantiated. The PREA Coordinator states that the agency will follow PREA standard
guidelines and terminate any employee who was been found to have substantially abuse a resident. Law enforcement (Ohio Highway Patrol) was involved at the onset of this case but did not pursue any charges.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Agency website
Investigation reports
Interview with administrative investigators

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.231: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.231 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents’ right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.231 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee’s facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.231 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency’s current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.231 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? ☐ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
- ☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does...
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency’s Institute for Training and Development and online training system, Relias, provide agency staff with mandatory training to comply with the PREA standards. During New Employee Orientation staff will be trained on the following topics related to this standard:

- PREA overview (what is PREA)
- Mandated reporter obligation
- Responsive planning
- Prevention planning
- Searches/cross-gender viewing
- Risk screening
- Investigations
- Reporting
- Medical and Mental health care
- Grievances
- Signs of abuse
- Resident rights
- Communicating effectively
- Responding to incidents
- First responder duties
- LGBTI communication

PREA topics learned during New Employee Orientation are reviewed with staff bi-annually. On the off year, the staff receive refresher training on agency policies and other PREA related topics. In addition to the PREA topics listed above, the agency also provides staff training in the following topics:

- Employee conduct and code of ethics
- Reporting neglect/abuse
- Socialization with residents
- Conflicts of interest
- Non-harassment training
- Crisis de-escalation
- Core correctional practices
- Pat searches (enhanced, cross-gender, and transgender)
- Professional etiquette
- Community resources
- Employee disciplinary procedures

All training is tracked and a tracking report is kept in each employee’s file.
The auditor interviewed programming and security staff. The staff can identify the PREA training received at new employee orientation and annually through Relias. The staff report the training focused on how to detect and respond to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The training also focused on protecting high risk residents, including LGBTI populations.

The Operations Director discussed reviewed PREA related topics during the monthly staff meeting. He states with PREA not allow staff to participate in live training at the Executive Office, he wanted an opportunity to give the staff facility specific information and answer any questions they may have on how to detect, prevent, and respond to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Training files
Interview with Associate Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Quality and Compliance Manager
Interview with Operations Supervisor

Standard 115.232: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.232 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency’s sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.232 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.232 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒  Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy 12.04.01 requires contractors, interns, and volunteers to receive PREA education training before being permitted to work with residents without staff supervision. The training includes a review of the agency’s zero tolerance policy, how to prevent, detect, and respond to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Site contractors will sign the Visitor Log acknowledging the agency's zero tolerance for sexual abuse and sexual harassment and the requirement to report any such behaviors. Regularly used contractors will sign a statement acknowledging awareness of PREA policy. Contractors are not permitted to move around the facility freely; they may only visit the area appropriate to their service provision. Resident Advisors are required to monitor the contractor’s activities every ten (10) minutes.

The auditor was required to read and sign acknowledgement of the agency’s zero tolerance policy during the onsite visit to each facility. There were no contractors, interns, or volunteers at the facility during the onsite visit due to COVID-19 protocols.

The agency provided the auditor will signed acknowledgements from contractors and volunteers.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Visitor zero tolerance acknowledgment form
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.233: Resident education**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.233 (a)
- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency’s zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.233 (b)

- Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.233 (c)

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.233 (d)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.233 (e)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 states that at intake, each resident will receive education about the agency’s zero tolerance for sexual abuse and harassment, how to report incidents or suspicions, and their right to be free from retaliation for making any report. If a resident is limited in English proficiency or another disability that prevents, normal communication, the facility will work with outside agencies to ensure each resident can benefit from the agency’s efforts to prevent, detect, report, and respond to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

At intake residents will receive brochures and other documentation that provides phone numbers and addresses to reporting and supportive agencies. This information is also documented throughout the facilities on posters located in conspicuous places. A more formal resident education concerning their rights and responsibilities under the PREA standards is given at a later time.

The facility provided the auditor with the documentation that is given to residents, and noted the posters located throughout the facility. The information provided to the residents include:

- Facility safety message
- Definitions
- Services available (including female specific services)
- Examples of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation
- Prohibition of consensual relationships (including staff)
- Prevention techniques
- Reporting and investigations
- What to expect after a report
- Victim advocate information
- Retaliation
- Discipline for false allegations
- Free of charge services
The auditor conducted sixteen (16) resident interviews. The residents report receiving information at intake and having it reviewed with them during orientation group. A review of resident files verified residents have signed and dated all documents received that explain their rights under the PREA standards. The residents report that they have weekly meetings (sip and chat- females or chop it up- males) where the staff and residents can discuss any issues or problems on the unit. The residents state that during these meetings the staff will remind them of the rules and rights under the PREA guidelines and identifying what harassment and abuse looks like.

The auditor conducted an interview with the resident orientation instructor. The instructor states she will ensure that the residents are able to read, write, and understand the information. She will ensure that all residents are capable to identifying all the ways in which they can report allegations, including anonymously and through a third party. When asked about residents that cannot read, have a cognitive or physical disability, or are limited English proficient, the instructor states that the Clinical Services Supervisor or the Associate Director will provide information on the accommodation strategies to deploy (see standard 115.116).

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident education materials
Resident handbook
Interview with residents
Interview with resident orientation instructor

### Standard 115.234: Specialized training: Investigations

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### 115.234 (a)

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA

#### 115.234 (b)

- Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)  
  ☒ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ NA
- Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.234 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.234 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

☒ Meets Standard (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 requires the facility to have trained investigators to conduct administrative investigations. The training must include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims; evidence required to substantiate an allegation for administrative action or criminal referral; the use of Miranda and Garity warnings; evidence collection; and report writing.
The agency has three trained investigators. All administrative investigators have been trained by facilitators from the Moss Group. The auditor has the training curriculum provided by the Moss Group and it meets the standard requirements. The training included:

- Techniques for interviewing sex abuse victims
- Proper use of Miranda and Garity warnings
- Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings
- Criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral

The agency retains a copy of the investigators’ training certificate in the employee file.

The auditor interviewed the agency investigators. The all report they are not allowed to conduct criminal investigations, and that at any time the allegations appears to be criminal, they will refer the allegation to the Cincinnati Police Department. The agency has a signed MOU with this agency (see standard 115.221).

The investigators discussed trauma informed care interviews, evidence collections as it related to administrative investigations and how to substantiate an allegation.

The PREA Coordinator states that the agency is private and is not subject to Garity warnings. She states that the facility would not interview staff if the allegation appeared to be criminal without permission from criminal investigators. She also states that the agency is prohibited from collecting physical evidence as it relates to DNA and other physical evidence during a sexual assault. The facility would protect the area until the police arrived. The PREA Coordinator would be responsible for conducting investigations involving staff members.

Review:
Policy and Procedure
Moss Group training curriculum
Training certificates
Interview of administrative investigators

**Standard 115.235: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.235 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
  - Yes
  - No
  - NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.235 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.235 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.235 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐  Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility does not have full or part time medical or mental health practitioners that would inside the facility. Residents that need medical services, including services for a forensic medical examination, would be sent to the University of Cincinnati Medical Center. The agency has services off-site for residents in need of mental health services. Integrative Services provides mental health counseling and has the capability of providing services at the facility. Integrative Services staff have received employee PREA training as well as Specialized Training for Medical and Mental Health professionals provided by the PREA Resource Center's website.

The Associate Director reports that no resident has used medical services, and one resident received mental health services due to a PREA related incident.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Specialized training for Medical and Mental Health Professionals
Interview with Associate Director
Investigation reports

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.241: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.241 (a)

- Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (b)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident’s criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener’s perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident’s own perception of vulnerability?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident’s arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident’s risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (g)

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Request? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility reassess a resident’s risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident’s risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (h)

- Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.241 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident’s detriment by staff or other residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 states that each resident will be provided a risk screening assessment within 72-hours of admission to determine their risk for victimization or predatory behaviors. Residents assessed to be at risk will be addressed immediately to assure they and others are safe. Each resident will be reassessed within 30 days. Residents can also be reassessed if the facility receives additional relevant information or an allegation is made. The assessment collects the following information:

- Physical attributes
- Age of resident
- Physical, mental, or cognitive disability
- Social indicators (timid, withdrawn)
- Lesbian, gay, or bisexual identification
- Screener’s perception of the resident’s sexual orientation
- Transgender/intersex identification
- History of sexual victimization
- Resident’s perception of safety
- Previous incarceration, including county jails and halfway houses
- Placement in protective custody while incarcerated
- History of consensual sex while incarcerated
- Experienced previous sexual abuse while incarcerated
- Institutional sexual taunting toward staff or offenders
- Current or prior convictions for sex offense
- Gang affiliation
- History of violence
- Length of previous incarceration
- Previous numbers of incarcerations
- Open discriminatory of LGBTI populations
- Current or prior criminal conviction of abuse, neglect, or rape
- History of misconduct in a correction facility to include sexual conduct, masturbation, etc.
The assessment has indicators listed to determine the classification of the resident. The possible classifications include; high risk, potential risk, or no risk for victimization or abusiveness.

The policy does not allow for disciplining a resident for refusing to answer or not disclosing complete information when questioned.

Each facility is required to perform the following procedure for residents who are assessed as high risk for victimization or abusiveness:

- Place resident in a dorm that is open and visible to staff
- Increase dorm/facility checks to ensure residents are safe
- Residents will be informed to immediately report problems
- Case manager will privately conduct status checks and address any safety concerns
- Management will document all safety measures taken

If a resident reports being abused at another confinement facility, the Associate Director will immediately report that information to the head of that facility and the PREA Coordinator. The resident will also be offered mental health services.

The case managers are responsible for conducting the initial risk and 30-day assessments. During staff interviews, three case managers were interviewed. The case managers state that during the assessment they will read each question to the resident explaining as she goes. They will define words and gives examples so that the resident has a clear understanding of each question. The staff members report explaining the purpose of the assessment and ensure the resident knows the information is kept confidential. During the 30-day reassessment, the case managers report that will review the initial assessment and address any concerns that the resident may have about their safety. They will also review any collateral information that may not have been available during the initial assessment. They report meeting with the resident prior to the end of the 30-days to assess any changes in the resident’s perception of their safety.

The auditor reviewed resident files during the onsite visit. The files contained the agency’s risk assessment form. The form contains information for both the initial assessment and the 30-day recheck. The facility is using the form developed based on a recommendation during a 2020 audit.

The form allows for the case manager or other clinical staff to override the score should any additional information or concerns be reported. There is also room on the assessment for staff to make comments. The new form makes it easier to ensure staff is conducting the assessment as intended.

The dates on the form indicate the screenings are being conducted on time.
During a review of all investigations, the auditor was able to review all new risk screenings conducted based on the allegation.

Review:
- Policy and procedure
- Risk assessment form
- Resident files
- Interview with Case Managers
- Interview with Clinical Supervisor

### Standard 115.242: Use of screening information

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### 115.242 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.242 (b)

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.242 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement
would ensure the resident’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present
management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents
to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with
this standard)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents,
does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the
resident’s health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security
problems? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.242 (d)

- Are each transgender or intersex resident’s own views with respect to his or her own safety
given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and
programming assignments? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.242 (e)

- Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other
residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.242 (f)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a
consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay,
bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing:
lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of
such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for
the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal
judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a
consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay,
bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing:
transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such
identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the
placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal
judgement.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a
consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay,
bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing:
intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification
or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of
LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)
☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**
☐  **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒  **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐  **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy requires the information on the risk screening assessment be made available to those staff members responsible for ensuring all housing, programming, and community assignments are given in a way to minimize the risk of a resident being sexually victimized.

The Clinical Supervisor reports, if a resident has been identified as being highly susceptible or abusive, the case manager will work with the resident to include programming that will address those issues such as trauma group or anger management. She reports that if the facility does not have a group that meets the need of the resident, the resident will be referred to a community provider for services should the resident wish to deal with the issues. She reports that staff will be notified that the resident will be in need of additional supervision without divulging the resident’s confidential information.

The Operations Supervisor reports that any resident that receives a classification of highly vulnerable will receive increased supervision until facility leadership feels the residents is not in any danger.

The agency has a policy to proper housing of transgender or intersex residents. The policy requires the agency to consider:

- Which facility would ensure the resident’s health and safety
- Would the placement present management or security problems
- What are the resident’s concerns about their own safety

Once the agency decides on a male or female facility based on those considerations, the facility will place the resident in a bed or dorm with the most visibility and security. The facility has placed cameras in all dorm room. Transgender/intersex residents would be placed in a dorm where there is direct camera view or in a dorm that can be for individual use.

The PREA Coordinator reports that once the transgender assessment is completed, the facility will forward the information and begin to develop a safety plan. She reports that the resident’s
preferences will not be the sole determining factor for placement and handling but will be given serious consideration, along with the safety, security, and staffing of the facility.

The facility did not have a transgender resident during the onsite visit; however, the facility did house a transgender resident recently. The Operations Supervisor provided the auditor with the increased monitoring form the facility used to ensure the safety of the resident. The resident did report harassment based on gender identity. The allegation was determined to be substantiated. The abuser was sanctioned and instructed to stay away from the victim. The victim had a medical emergency soon after and was removed from the facility.

The facility has three housing units that residents are assigned to based on their referral source and/or gender. Residents with the same referral source are housed together. They do not have a unit that is dedicated for residents that identify as LGBTI. The Associate Director reports that the PREA risk assessment is given priority over referral source when a resident is identified as being at high risk for victimization or abusiveness.

During the onsite visit there were no residents that identified as LGBTI.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Safety plan
Interview with Clinical Supervisor
Interview with Associate Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Operations Supervisor
Interview with residents

**REPORTING**

**Standard 115.251: Resident reporting**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.251 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (b)
- Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? ☐ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (c)
- Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.251 (d)
- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Talbert House policy 12.04.01 requires the facilities to provide multiple ways to report sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation to internal and external entities. Residents are not restricted to reporting such allegations via the agency’s grievance procedures. Residents are encouraged to use the following established methods:

- Talbert House crisis line
- ODRC reporting line
The auditor verified that the methods available were posted in various areas throughout the facility and listed in the resident handbook. The handbook lists the phone numbers for all the reporting entities.

The auditor contacted the internal and external phone numbers listed in the handbook and on posters. The internal phone number has a live person answer the call while the external number is received by an answering machine with instructions to leave a message with details of the allegation, that the caller remain anonymous, and the allegations will be investigated. The call to the outside reporting agency was returned the same day.

During the onsite visit, the auditor interviewed sixteen (16) residents. The residents were asked questions in accordance with the PREA Compliance Audit Instrument guide and the Auditor Handbook Guide for Effective Strategies for Interviewing Staff and Residents. This includes questions on ways a resident can report, private and anonymous reporting, and how residents received information on reporting methods. The residents indicate that they are aware of all means of reporting including anonymously.

The facility received allegations from several residents during this audit cycle. The allegations were all administratively investigated and all victims were offered emotional supportive/mental health services.

The staff interviewed stated that they are required to report all allegations regardless of how they were received. The staff state they would report the allegation directly to their supervisor and that they could do so privately if needed. The staff interviewed stated that the Operations Supervisor has a great rapport with staff and residents and they would feel comfortable and confident that he would address the situation appropriately.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident handbook
PREA posters (English and Spanish)
Investigation reports
Interview with staff
Interview with residents

**Standard 115.252: Exhaustion of administrative remedies**
**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

### 115.252 (a)

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. ☒ Yes ☐ No

### 115.252 (b)

- Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

### 115.252 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

### 115.252 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
115.252 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident’s decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

115.252 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency’s determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

- Does the agency’s final decision document the agency’s action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
  - Yes ☐
  - No ☐
  - NA ☒

115.252 (g)
If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has a grievance policy that protect residents from abuse, exploitation, retaliation, humiliation, neglect, and discrimination based on race, ethnicity, age, color, religion, sex, or sexual orientation. The policy states that all allegations will be investigated under agency policy 12.04.00.

The PREA Coordinator states that the residents can make reports or anonymous reports through a grievance form but the allegation would be immediately turned over to an administrative investigator.

Policy 12.04.00 states that the agency should use a standardize methodology for reporting and reviewing incidents and major unusual incidents (MUI).

- Within 24-hours a PREA investigator will start the PREA Investigation Report and submit to the PREA Coordinator
- Within 24-hours the resident victim and resident abuser will receive a new risk screening
- Within 48-hours a Special Incident Report will be submitted to ODRC or to FBOP
- The allegation will be investigated in line of PREA standard 115.271 by a trained investigator
- Should an administrative investigation take more than 90-days, the investigator would inform the resident in writing of the need for an extension. The extension should not exceed 70-days
- The PREA Coordinator will provide a letter of the investigation findings and the Associate Director will give to resident for date and signature
Once an incident is reported, the report must be submitted to the agency risk committee within 24-hours of the discovery for review.

No allegation originated with a grievance.

There have been no reports of a resident alleging substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The facility will provide appropriate protection measures to keep residents safe.

Review:
Policy and procedure
PREA Incident/Investigation checklist
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

115.253 (a)

- Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.253 (b)

- Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.253 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**
☐ **Exceeds Standard** *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility has an MOU with Women Helping Women to provide residents with access to outside victims' advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents the mailing address and telephone number to the agency. The facility also provides the mailing address and telephone numbers of other local, state, and national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations.

The facility informs the residents at intake the extent to which communications with these agencies will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws.

Throughout the facility are posters that provide the name, contact numbers, and mailing address of local, state, and national rape crisis organizations.

The director of Women Helping Women reports that the agency can provide hospital support, one-on-one crisis intervention sessions, long-term counseling, legal accompaniment, support groups, and a 24-hour hotline.

*The national rape crisis advocacy organization, RAINN, does not keep record of calls into the center. All calls are anonymous and callers are forwarded to their local rape crisis agency.*

The facility had one allegation of sexual harassment where the victim accepted mental health counselling. All victims are offered services after any allegation is reported.

**Review:**
MOU with Women Helping Women
PREA posters
Resident handbook
Email with Women Helping Women director
Email with RAINN
Investigation report
Standard 115.254: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.254 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*
☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*
☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

On the agency's website, information for making a third-party allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of a resident is posted. Per policy 12.04.01, the facility is responsible for reporting third-party reports of incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the administrative investigator and the PREA Coordinator.

The auditor reviewed the agency website, https://www.talberthouse.org/resources/prea-5/, and was able to see the posted information on how a third-party can report an allegation. The information on the website includes:

- Phone: 513-751-7747 and ask to speak with PREA Coordinator
- Fax: 513-751-8107 attention PREA Coordinator
- Email: PREA.Reporting@talberthouse.org

The facility has also posted this information in areas of the facility where visitors would frequent.
The auditor contacted the internal and external hotline number to verify the process. The internal phone number has a live person answer the call while the external number is received by an answering machine with instructions to leave a message with details of the allegation, that the caller remain anonymous, and the allegations will be investigated. The call to the outside reporting agency was returned the same day.

The facility had one third-party report that was made to the outside hotline and made the call anonymously. The facility also had a resident third-party report about a staff and resident inappropriate relationship. Both allegations were administratively investigated.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Agency website
Facility posters
Hotline numbers
Investigation reports

**OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT**

### Standard 115.261: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.261 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.261 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary,
as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ☒ Yes □ No

115.261 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☒ Yes □ No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner’s duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? ☒ Yes □ No

115.261 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ☒ Yes □ No

115.261 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? ☒ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 requires states staff will report immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse, harassment, retaliation, or any staff neglect that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation to their supervisors.
The staff are trained that they are not allowed to reveal any information related to incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment except to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

All staff that provide programming to residents are required to provides, especially those with licensure, are required to inform residents of their mandated reporting obligations at the beginning of services. Residents are made aware of all staff, contractors, and volunteers duty to report any allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Resident sign an acknowledgement at intake of informed consent during intake.

The facility does not have in house medical or mental health staff, but does have staff that have chemical dependency counseling licensure. All program staff are required to inform residents of their reporting obligation.

During the onsite visit, targeted and random staff were questioned on the reporting process, what type of information should be reported, and informing residents on the limits to confidentiality. All staff was able to verify their PREA training which includes what information should be reported, how to report, who to report, and how to document the allegation. Case management staff stated that during their initial meeting with residents on their caseload, they inform residents that all allegations reported will be investigated regardless of who they report that allegation. All interviewed staff were questioned on their comfort level with reporting co-workers on suspicions of boundary crossing or staff misconduct. The staff report that they have no issues with reporting staff. A few of the staff members state that depending upon their relationship with the staff member, they would address their concerns directly with that staff member and if the behavior continued they would report it to their supervisor.

The auditor reviewed fifteen (15) employee files during the onsite visit. The files contained the following training documentation:

- Resident confidentiality
- Code of ethics
- Resident rights
- Standards of ethical behavior
- Reporting procedures
- PREA zero tolerance policies

The facility does not accept residents that are under the age of eighteen and therefore does not have a duty to report to child protective services. However, this policy does require that the PREA Coordinator report all allegations to the designated state or local services agency should the victim be under the age of eighteen or a vulnerable adult.

No allegations were made from, on the behalf of, or against anyone that would be identified as a youthful offender or a vulnerable adult.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee files
Interview with staff

Standard 115.262: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.262 (a)

- When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 requires the facility to provide protection measures to residents who are at risk of sexual abuse or to prevent retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations. Protection measures can include:

- Housing changes or transfers
- Removal of alleged abuser from contact with victim
- Close observation

Any protective measures taken will be documented in the Sexual Abuse, Sexual Assault, and Sexual Harassment Reporting Form.

The Associate Director reports to the auditor that resident safety is a priority and that it is the practice of the facility to immediately separate the abuser and the victim. The facility has the ability to move the resident victim to another dorm, facility, or place on electronic monitoring;
move the resident abuser to another dorm, facility, or return to parent agency; and move the staff abuser to another facility or place on administrative leave.

The PREA Coordinator reports that it is the practice of the facility to place staff on leave during investigations, but the type of protection used will depend upon the circumstances and/or severity of the incident.

The auditor reviewed the allegations from this audit cycle. In every investigation, the staff ensured that in cases were the victim and abuser were both residents that they were separated and increased monitoring was done, and if the abuser was a staff member, the staff member was placed on administrative leave.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Interview with Associate Director
Interview with PREA Coordinator

Standard 115.263: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.263 (a)
- Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.263 (b)
- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.263 (c)
- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.263 (d)
- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ **Meets Standard** *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** *(Requires Corrective Action)*

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 states that when the facility receives an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the staff will immediately notify the Associate Director. The Associate Director will report that information to the head of the facility or appropriate central office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred. The notification is required to be done as soon as possible, but no longer than 72-hours after receiving notification of the allegation.

The facility provided an email chain as documentation that it provided notification of an incident reported by a resident to another confinement facility. The notification was send by the Associate Director (facility head) to the warden of Ohio Reformatory for Women. A copy of the report is sent to the PREA Coordinator and to the Bureau of Community Sanctions PREA Community Confinement Liaison.

The policy requires the facility to conduct an investigation into an allegation reported to the facility from another confinement facility by a former resident.

The resident has not had an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment reported to the facility from another confinement facility.

**Review:**
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports

**Standard 115.264: Staff first responder duties**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.264 (a)
• Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

• Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.264 (b)

• If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has a written protocol that each facility must follow upon learning of an incident of sexual abuse. The first staff member responding to the scene must:

• Separate the alleged abuser and victim
• Clear the area of other residents
• Notify a co-worker of the incident and instruct them to call the appropriate law enforcement agency(ies) and the facility supervisor
• Preserve and protect any crime scene until law enforcement arrives to conduct a criminal investigation
• If the abuse occurred within a time period that allows for collection of physical evidence, request the alleged victim does not destroy and ensures the alleged abuser does not destroy any physical evidence by washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating
• If it is learned that a resident is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, staff will take immediate action to protect the inmate at risk of victimization
• If the first responder is not a security staff member, the responder will request the alleged victim not take any action that could destroy physical evidence and notify security staff
• Complete the Sexual Assault/Sexual Harassment Reporting Form
• Complete the MUI or incident report

The facility provided the auditor with the first responder training curriculum and sign-in sheets. All staff are trained on the first responder duties and receive annual training on these steps.

The staff interviewed state that they receive training on how to conduct the first responder steps and the coordinated response plan. The steps and the plan are posted at the RA post desk. The staff report that they have not had an allegation where all first responder steps have been deployed. The staff state that for all allegations, the victim and abuser are always separated.

The PREA Coordinator reports that for any allegation of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or retaliation, the facility would ensure the safety of all residents. The protection measures used will be documented on the investigation report.

Review:
First Responder Duties Protocol
Investigation reports
Interview with staff
Interview with PREA Coordinator

Standard 115.265: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.265 (a)
Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has a coordinated response plan in place that coordinates the actions taken by staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and agency leadership in response to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The plan includes:

- The facility will enact the first responder duties
- If the allegation involves a staff member and/or a potential crime has been committed, local law enforcement will be notified
- The PREA Coordinator will notify the VP and the Community Relations Director
- The facility will offer rape crisis, medical, and/or emotional supportive services
- Administrative investigation will begin once the police have completed their investigation
- Once determined that the administrative investigation can proceed, the investigators will interview the alleged victim, witnesses, and alleged abuser
- A retaliation monitor will be assigned
- An administrative review of the allegation will take place within 30-days of the conclusion of the investigation
- The PREA Coordinator will ensure all documentation is complete and report findings to the victim and the resident’s parent agency
- The PREA Coordinator will maintain custody of all investigation documentation

The plan is documented and available to staff at each post desk location.

Review:
Policy and procedure
First Responder Duties Protocol
Standard 115.266: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.266 (a)

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency’s behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency’s ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ☒ Yes  ☐ No

115.266 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

N/A: The PREA Coordinator reports that the agency does not have a union and does not enter into contracts with its employees. The agency is an “at will” employer. Employees are notified of the “at will” status in their hiring letter.

The auditor was able to review the hiring letter during the employee files review.

Review:
Employee files
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Standard 115.267: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.267 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ☐ Yes ☒ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? ☐ Yes ☒ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ☐ Yes ☒ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports? ☐ Yes ☒ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident housing changes? ☐ Yes ☒ No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ☐ Yes ☒ No

115.267 (d)

In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ☐ Yes ☒ No

115.267 (e)

If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (f)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 states that the facility will have protection measures in place for residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff. The facility must also, for at least 90-days following a report of sexual abuse, assign a staff member who will monitor the conduct and treatment of a resident or staff who reported the sexual abuse. The facility will monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who suffered sexual abuse to include status
checks of the residents disciplinary reports, housing changes, program changes, negative performance reviews, and reassignments of staff.

The PREA Coordinator reports the facility has several options to provide protection from retaliation for staff or residents or report incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations. The facility can separate the alleged resident victim and abuse by building, dorm room, floor, or facility. The facility can also place the resident victim on electronic monitoring with permission from the parent agency. The PREA Coordinator states that the facility can move the alleged staff abuser to another facility or place the staff member on administrative leave. The Coordinator states that the facility will act promptly to address any allegations of retaliation.

The Operations Supervisor and the Case Manager report working together to conduct monitoring checks on the resident and ensuring retaliation is not taking place. The auditor was unable to review the process for how the facility conducted retaliation monitoring and status checks. The results of the checks are not documented.

The policy allows for monitoring to end for allegations that have been determined to be unfounded.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:
The auditor discussed with the PREA Coordinator the requirement of the standard for providing at least 90 days of retaliation monitoring for allegations of sexual abuse that have been determined to be substantiated or unsubstantiated. The monitoring includes status checks on resident disciplinary reports, housing changes, program changes; monitoring staff for negative performance reviews or reassignments; and immediately remedying any acts of retaliation.

The auditor assisted with facility with a method of ensuring that status checks were capturing all the standard required information and were being conducted during regular intervals.

FACILITY RESPONSE:
The facility provided the auditor with an agency specific form to capture the required information. The auditor requested to see completed forms for a four-month period of time after the post onsite visit to ensure the agency has fully implemented the new procedure for conducting retaliation monitoring.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with PREA Coordinator
Interview with Clinical Supervisor
Investigation reports
Reporting forms
INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.271: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.271 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.)) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a.)) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.271 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual’s status as resident or staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.271 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.271 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Policy 12.04.01 requires an administrative and/or criminal investigation are completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Administrative investigations are required to be conducted by a properly trained individual and any allegation that appears to be criminal in nature will be referred to the legal authority to conduct a criminal investigation. Administrative investigators are required to:

- Gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence
- Collect physical and electronic data
- Interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witness
- Review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment
- Document the investigation in a written report

For criminal investigations, the PREA Coordinator is required to:

- Provide local law enforcement with all requested documentation and evidence to the best of its ability for the event being investigated
- Keep record of these referrals and the outcome of the investigation
- Document the outcome and report to the resident victim

The agency provided the auditor with all investigation reports for the previous twelve months. The reports include:

- Reported by
- Reported to
- Date/time of incident
- First responder name
- Date of report
- Type of allegation
During the onsite visit, the auditor interviewed agency and facility administrative investigators. The investigators discussed the process for investigation initiation, investigation techniques, credibility assessments, and referrals for criminal investigation.

While reviewing the investigations, the PREA Coordinator reports that she collects as much information as possible which can corroborate the allegation or assist in credibility assessments. She states that the facility never uses polygraph examinations or other truth telling devices as a part of any investigation. If the allegation is sexual abuse, the facility will inform the local legal authority to conduct an investigation before questioning a staff member. For a summary review of all allegations during the past twelve months, please see PREA standard 115.222.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the agency will cooperate with the criminal investigators and remain abreast of the investigation. It is at the discretion of the criminal investigators to referral allegations for criminal prosecution. She states that will report information that is gathered from criminal investigations to the resident victim.
The facility had one allegation that was investigated by the local legal authority, but it was not referred for a criminal prosecution. The administrative investigation initially determined the allegation to be unsubstantiated but after additional information was received, the facility changed the determination to substantiated.

Policy requires the PREA Coordinator to collect and retain all documents related to the investigation for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years. The PREA Coordinator reports that she has a binder with all allegations for all Talbert House facilities. She states that she alone has access to these documents.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.272: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.272 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*
Agency policy 12.04.01 states that the agency will not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.

The auditor interviewed the agency and facility investigators on the standard of proof used when making allegation determinations. All investigators report the facility required to use a measure of 51% when making determinations. The facility investigators report that the final decision in allegation determination lies with the PREA Coordinator who is also a trained investigator.

The auditor reviewed all investigations from the previous twelve months to verify the standard of proof used. All determinations used that standard. The facility even reopened an investigation when new information was received and changed the outcome of the investigation.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Interview with administrative investigators

### Standard 115.273: Reporting to residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.273 (a)

- Following an investigation into a resident’s allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident’s allegation of sexual abuse in the agency’s facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

115.273 (c)

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident’s unit? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (d)

- Following a resident’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Following a resident’s allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.273 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
Agency policy 12.04.01 states that outcomes of the investigations will be reported to the alleged. Victim. Victims will be made aware:

- If the alleged staff member is no longer posted in the resident’s facility
- If the alleged staff member is no longer employed with the agency
- If the agency learns that the alleged staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- If the agency learns that the alleged staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- If the alleged resident abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility
- If the alleged resident abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility

The PREA Coordinator will document the outcome of the investigation and provide the documentation to the facility in order for the resident to sign and date receiving notification of the outcome. The PREA Coordinator will retain the signed and dated documentation as part of the investigation file.

The PREA Coordinator reports that she ensures that the form is completed accurately and ensures that the facility Associate Director provided the notification to the victim. All victims are required to sign and date the notification. The victim will be provided a copy of the signed notification.

The auditor reviewed all investigations for the facility from the previous twelve months. The auditor was able to review a copy of the signed notification from each investigation.

The Associate Director reports reviewing the notification with the residents and why the determination was made. She will answer any questions that may arise from the determination.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident notification forms
Investigation reports
Interview with PREA Coordinator
## DISCIPLINE

### Standard 115.276: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.276 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.276 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.276 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

#### 115.276 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does
not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 13.04.00 and 3.32.00 state all staff will be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual misconduct policies. Termination will be the presumptive disciplinary sanctions for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse. Should a staff member be terminated for violations of agency sexual misconduct policy, or would have been terminated if not for the staff member’s resignation, they will be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and also reported to any relevant licensing bodies.

Policy 3.80.00 informs staff of the agency’s progressive disciplinary procedure. The agency will apply a series of increasing serious levels of discipline, which allows for discipline to start at a higher level up to and including immediate termination of employment based on the severity of the infraction and circumstances of the situation, if necessary. Types of discipline include:

- Verbal warnings
- Written warnings
- Personal Improvement Plans
- Terminations

Disciplinary actions are considered active for six months and will impact subsequent disciplinary action. Disciplinary actions for violation agency sexual misconduct policies (other than sexual abuse) are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the act committed, the staff member’s disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.

Employees are notified of the agency’s disciplinary policies during onboarding and contained within the employee handbook. Employees sign and date an acknowledgement of receiving employment related policies and an employee handbook. The auditor reviewed fifteen (15) employee files and verified signed and dated acknowledgments.

During staff interviews, they acknowledgement receiving a copy of the employee handbook, access to employment related policies and procedures, and a copy of the agency’s zero tolerance policies. When questioned about the agency’s disciplinary policies as it related to the PREA standards, all staff stated that their employment would be terminated for a violation of the PREA policies. Staff also understood the criminal and licensure reporting requirements.

The Associate Director spoke with the auditor during the onsite visit. She states that the safety of the residents is her top priority. She will ensure that no staff member that violated agency zero tolerance policies, procedures, or practices is able to continue that behavior.

The facility investigated several allegations of staff-to-resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The agency terminated a staff member for a substantiated sexual harassment incident. The facility had an allegation of sexual abuse of a resident that was originally
determined to be unsubstantiated. The staff member was then transferred to another division of Talbert House. After the transfer, the agency received additional information that proved the initial PREA abuse allegation to be founded. The PREA Coordinator reports to the auditor that the staff member has been terminated based on the additional information.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Employee handbook
Employee files
Investigation reports
Interviews with staff

Standard 115.277: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.277 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ☐ Yes ☐ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.277 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 states that volunteers or contractors who engage in sexual abuse with a resident will be prohibited from contact with any resident and will be reported to law enforcement (unless the behavior was clearly not criminal) and to relevant licensing bodies. The agency will prohibit further contact with residents in such circumstances.

The auditor reviewed all facility allegations from the past twelve months. There were no allegations against a contractor or volunteer.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the facility has never had an allegation against a contractor or volunteer. She states that should a contractor or volunteer be found to have violated the agency zero tolerance policies, the contractor or volunteer will be prohibited from entering the facility or having further contact with residents.

Review:
Policy and procedures
Investigation reports
Interview with PREA Coordinator

---

**Standard 115.278: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.278 (a)
- Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (b)
- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (c)
When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident’s mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (d)

If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (e)

Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (f)

For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.278 (g)

If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy 12.04.01 states that residents will be subject to termination from the program following an administrative or criminal finding that the resident engaged in resident-on-resident
sexual abuse. The sanction for resident-on-resident sexual abuse will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed and will consider the resident’s disciplinary history, mental disability or mental illness, and the sanction of others who committed similar offenses.

The facility provides the residents a handbook at intake that describes the facility’s disciplinary policies. The handbook list termination from program as a possible sanction for a substantiated allegation of sexual abuse. Other allegations, depending upon the circumstance and seriousness of the allegation, will be subject to discipline according to the progressive disciplinary policy laid out in the resident handbook.

The auditor reviewed ten (10) resident files during the onsite visit. The files contained signed and dated zero tolerance policy acknowledgments, resident handbook receipts, and PREA orientation materials.

Policy 12.04.01 states that residents may be disciplined for sexual contact with a staff person if the staff person did not consent to such contact. Residents can also be disciplined for consensual sexual activity between residents, but does not constitute sexual abuse.

The policy also states that the agency will consider counseling, therapy, or other interventions to address and correct the underlying reasons for the abuse.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the facility does not provide therapy or counseling for residents who commit sexual abuse. Residents who have been found to have sexually abused another resident will be terminated from the program and returned to their parent agency.

The auditor interviewed sixteen (16) residents during the onsite interview. The residents reported receiving a handbook at intake and that staff reviewed the disciplinary policies and expected conduct with them. Each resident was able to identify the sanctions that accompany a substantiated allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or a criminal finding of guilt.

The facility had one allegation of resident-to-resident sexual harassment during the previous twelve months and no allegations of resident-to-resident sexual abuse. The allegation was determined to be substantiated and the resident was arrested by his parole officer and spend two days in jail as his sanction.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Resident handbook
Resident files
Investigation reports
Interview with residents
Interview with PREA Coordinator
### MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

**Standard 115.282: Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.282 (a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.282 (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.282 (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>115.282 (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒ Yes ☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- ☐ **Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- ☒ **Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- ☐ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

**Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**
Policy 12.04.01 requires the facility to ensure that resident victims of sexual abuse will receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment, crisis intervention services, and ongoing medical and mental health care. The services are provided to the resident victim without cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. The services will be provided by community providers and the scope of services, length of services, and types of services will be at the discretion of the medical or mental health provider.

The Clinical Supervisor reports that medical services would be provided by University of Cincinnati Medical Center. The hospital would provide medical evaluation and treatment; test for sexually transmitted infectious disease, and emergency contraception, pregnancy testing and comprehensive access to pregnancy related medical services are available for female residents or transgender female residents. The facility would provide community access to a mental health provider for an assessment and any necessary treatment.

Rape crisis services will be provided to the residents by Women Helping Women. The agency partners with University of Cincinnati Medical Center and would coordinate care and services for the resident.

The auditor made contact with the director at Women Helping Women and was able to confirm the services they would provide free of charge to resident victims and their partnership with Atrium Medical Center for any needed medical services. The MOU states that Women Helping Women would provide these services:

The services include:

- Hospital support
- One-on-one crisis intervention sessions
- Long-term counseling
- Legal accompaniment
- Support groups
- Support services for residents who identify as LGBTQ

Review:
Policy and procedure
Interview with Clinical Supervisor
Email with Women Helping Women Director
MOU with Women Helping Women
### Standard 115.283: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115.283 (a)</td>
<td>Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.283 (b)</td>
<td>Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.283 (c)</td>
<td>Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.283 (d)</td>
<td>Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if “all-male” facility. Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.283 (e)</td>
<td>If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if “all-male” facility. Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.283 (f)</td>
<td>Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.283 (h)
- Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☒ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The facility would provide community medical and mental health counseling services for residents that have been sexually abused in a jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. The services can include:

- Evaluation and treatment of sexual abuse victims
- Follow-up services
- Continued care following release from the facility
- Testing for sexually transmitted infections

The facility is required to provide victims of vaginal penetration (female residents or transgender residents) while incarcerated:

- Pregnancy testing
- Timely and Comprehensive information about lawful pregnancy related medical services
- Timely access to all lawful pregnancy related medical services

The facility has implemented COVID-19 protocols and offers medical and mental health services through telehealth. The Associate Director showed the auditor a room where residents are able to conduct private video chats with medical and mental health professionals.
The agency has mental health professionals within its Integrated Services division. These providers and provide services at the facility, in the community, and/or over video conferencing.

Agency policy requires the facility to perform a mental health evaluation for all known resident-to-resident abusers within 60-days of learning such history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate. The PREA Coordinator states that the facility would not house a known resident-to-resident abuser.

The auditor reviewed the allegations from the facility within the past twelve months. The facility had one allegation were the resident accepted mental health counseling.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Investigation reports
Interview with PREA Coordinator
DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

**Standard 115.286: Sexual abuse incident reviews**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.286 (a)**

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.286 (b)**

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.286 (c)**

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**115.286 (d)**

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.286 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 requires each Talbert House facility to conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including when the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The review will occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation and include upper management, line supervisors, and relevant clinical staff. The review must include:

- Consideration of a policy or practice change
- Whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, gang affiliation or any other group dynamics
- Assessment of the area where the incident occurred and whether a physical barrier may enable abuse
- Assessment of adequacy of staffing levels
- Assessment of monitoring technology

After the review, the PREA Coordinator will prepare a report of findings and any recommendations for improvement and submit report to the Director.

The facility conducted a Sexual Abuse Response Team (SART) review for three investigations at ADAPT/BIS. The facility, according to this PREA standard, was only required to conduct a SART review on one of the investigations; however, the facility conducted reviews even for sexual harassment allegations when they felt a review was warranted. The auditor reviewed the form which included:

- Name of the alleged victim
The SART also documents on the review if there is a need for additional staff, electronic monitoring, change to policy and procedure, and recommendations.

The PREA Coordinator reviewed the process of assessing an investigation with the auditor. She reports that the team is comprised of the her, the Director, the facility Associate Director, a line supervisor, the administrative investigator, medical or mental health staff (if necessary) and any other staff member needed. She states that should the team make a recommendation; the facility’s Associate Director would be responsible for implementing the recommendations. The PREA Coordinator would document compliance with recommendations or reasons why the recommendation was not implemented.

The auditor was able to review the three reports from the SART. During one review of a sexual harassment investigation, it was noted that the motivation for the incident was related to the victim’s gender identification. None of the reviews produced any recommendations; however, the PREA Coordinator reports that due to the number of allegations against staff member across all seven facilities, the agency is looking into application questions that may identify high risk applicants.

Review:
Policy and procedure
SART incident review form
Investigation reports
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.287: Data collection**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

**115.287 (a)**

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ☒ Yes □ No

**115.287 (b)**
- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.287 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.287 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?
  ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.287 (e)

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.287 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 states that the agency will collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation using a standardized instrument and set of definitions (at minimum, same data found on the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice). The agency is using Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections PREA reporting form as their collection instrument.
The auditor reviewed the form used to collect the data and confirmed that the information collected is appropriate enough to complete the Survey of Sexual Victimization. The information on the tool includes:

- Resident-to-resident sexual abuse
- Resident-to resident sexual harassment
- Staff-to-resident sexual abuse
- Staff-to-resident sexual harassment
- Administrative investigations
- Criminal investigations
- Retaliation
- Staff training
- Resident education
- Initial and 30-day risk screening

The information on the form is aggregated and listed in the agency's annual PREA report. The report is posted on the agency's website. The auditor accessed the agency's website and reviewed the 2020 annual report. The report contains the aggregated sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegation data from all Talbert House facilities, as well as definitions for each possible investigation outcome.

The PREA Coordinator reports that the Department of Justice has not made a request for this information.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Sexual Victimization report form
Agency website
Interview with PREA Coordinator

**Standard 115.288: Data review for corrective action**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.288 (a)

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ☒ Yes ☐ No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response
policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? ☒ Yes ☐ No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.288 (b)

- Does the agency’s annual report include a comparison of the current year’s data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency’s progress in addressing sexual abuse? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.288 (c)

- Is the agency’s annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.288 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Agency policy 12.04.01 states that the agency will compile data collected in standard 115.287 into an annual report. The report will compare the current year’s data and corrective action with those from previous years and provide an assessment of the progress of the agency in addressing sexual abuse.
The auditor reviewed the report and ensured that the report compared the current year’s data with those of the previous years. The information in the report includes:

- **Identified vulnerabilities**
  - Not all areas of the facilities are monitored through video surveillance
  - A trend has been noticed that entry level staff is the most vulnerable to PREA allegations

- **Corrective Action**
  - A PREA TIPS grant to purchase video surveillance equipment has been attained for 2021. This will also afford the correctional programs to increase education for staff and residents in addition to becoming more trauma informed
  - Onsite training occurred for staff when PREA allegations increased
  - PREA Forums held quarterly in order to communicate the most current updates and address problem areas
  - A PREA Co-Coordinator was identified to assist with compliance
  - Three sites passed the required audit in March of 2020

- **Annual Assessment**
  - Since the initiation of the PREA standards in 2012, the agency has increased the number of cameras in the facilities, hiring same sex staff whenever possible, and educating residents of their rights under the PREA standards. The agency has also received an Impact Justice TIPS grant. The grand will allow the agency to increase the number of electronic monitoring equipment, increase education for residents, and implement more trauma informed approach for victims. The agency has found it helpful in maintaining safety by hiring the same gender staff whenever possible and transferring staff to same gender facilities when needed. Agency PREA procedures are updated each January, or more often, if indicated.

The information in the report does not contain any identifying information that would need to be redacted in order to protect the safety of the residents, staff, or facility.

The information in the report has been reviewed and approved by the agency’s President and CEO.

**Review:**
Policy and procedure
PREA Annual Summary Report (2020)
Talbert House website

**Standard 115.289: Data storage, publication, and destruction**

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.289 (a)
Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.289 (b)

Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.289 (c)

Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.289 (d)

Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

☑ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

☐ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Policy 12.04.01 requires the PREA Coordinator to collect data requested in standard 115.287 and that this information will be aggregated and made available to the public through the agency’s website. The information posted to the agency’s website is required to have all personal identifying information removed. The PREA Coordinator is mandated by policy to securely retain the information collected and to retain the data collected for at least ten years.

The auditor reviewed the agency website, https://www.talberthouse.org/media/resources/PREA%20Annual%20Report2020.pdf, to
ensure that the agency has posted its annual report. The annual report is completed based on a calendar year. The information in the report is collected by the associate director and submitted to the PREA Coordinator on a monthly basis. The PREA Coordinator is responsible for aggregating the information and preparing it for the annual report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substantiated allegations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsubstantiated allegations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfounded allegations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending allegations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total allegations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PREA Coordinator reports that all information is only accessible to approved administrative staff members and that she retains control of all information. She reports the information will be kept for ten years.

The information collected in standard 115.287 is made available to the public through the agency website.

The auditor reviewed the agency’s annual report. The report did not have personal identifying information or information that could jeopardize the safety and security of the facility.

Review:
Policy and procedure
Talbert House website
PREA Annual Summary Report (2020)
Interview with PREA Coordinator
AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (b)

• Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a “no” response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) ☐ Yes ☒ No

• If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

• If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.401 (h)

• Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (i)

• Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (m)

• Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents? ☒ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (n)

• Were residents permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? ☒ Yes ☐ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

☒ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency posts all final PREA reports of each facility on the agency website. The auditor reviewed the agency website to ensure that during the previous audit year, 1/3 Talbert House facilities were audited. The agency has a total of seven facilities that require a PREA audit. During year one, Pathways for Women, Community Correctional Center, and Cornerstone were audited. The three audits will complete the required 1/3 audits for the first year. The agency has elected to finalize the audit cycle requirement by having the rest of their facilities audited during year 2 of the audit cycle.

The auditor was given full access to the facility during the onsite visit. The auditor was taken on a tour of the interior and perimeter areas of the facility. The auditor was provided a private room in order to conduct formal interviews of staff and residents. The auditor received documentation prior to and during the onsite visit.

The auditor reviewed electronic documentation, resident files, staff files, and camera monitors for additional documentation and confirmation of reported information.

The PREA Coordinator sent the auditor photographic evidence of audit notice postings. The auditor observed the posting during the onsite visit. The notices were posted in conspicuous areas throughout the facility. The notices included the auditors mailing and email address. The auditor did not receive any correspondence with a staff or resident prior to, during, or after the onsite visit.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years preceding this agency audit. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- ☒ Exceeds Standard *(Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)*

- ☒ Meets Standard *(Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)*

- ☒ Does Not Meet Standard *(Requires Corrective Action)*

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The agency has published on its agency website, [https://www.talberthouse.org/resources/prea-5/](https://www.talberthouse.org/resources/prea-5/), the final PREA report for all Talbert House operated facilities. The final report for ADAPT/BIS from the previous audit (2018) is currently posted. The auditor reviewed the website and verified that all the facilities that were audited during year one of the current cycle were posted. The PREA Coordinator reports that she understands the requirement of having all final reports posted. In the State of Ohio, all final audit reports of facilities that house ODRC offenders are also posted on the ODRC website, [https://www.drc.ohio.gov/prea](https://www.drc.ohio.gov/prea).
AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

☒ The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.

☒ No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and

☒ I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission. Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned. See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

_________________________  ________________________
Auditor Signature               Date

June 29, 2021

1 See additional instructions here: https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110.